EXHIBIT 6

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

D	20	Γ Δ	1
r	αЧ		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;

OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JENNIFER HAROON

San Francisco, California

Wednesday, July 26, 2017

Volume I

Reported by:

MARY J. GOFF

CSR No. 13427


Job No. 2664313

PAGES 1-222

1	0	And do you rogall	Page 207
_	Q	And do you recall	
			05.15.56
			05:17:56
4		I don't. I mean yeah.	05:17:59
5	Q	Is it fair to say it would have been	05:18:06
6	whatever		05:18:07
7	A	That's	05:18:10
8		MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:18:10
9	A	fair to say. Sorry. That's likely.	05:18:11
10	Q	Okay. Is there a possibility that there	05:18:14
11	would hav	ve been	
			05:18:22
13		MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:18:26
14	A		
			05:18:53
21	Q	Do you recall	
	~	<u> </u>	05:18:59
23		MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:19:00
24	A	I don't I mean, what would you	05:19:04
25	aescripe	what would you call significant?	05:19:13

13 13 18 18 18 18
13 18 18 18 18 18 10
18 18 50 53
18 18 50 53
18 50 53
50 53
53)1
)1
4
)5
.1
.3
21
23
28
31
32
37
<u>1</u> 7
2
.2
33.

			- 010
1			Page 210
			05:22:54
9	Q	Okay. Do you recall	
			05:23:07
11	A	I don't recall	
			05:23:28
15	Q	Do you recall any others?	05:23:39
16	A	Not off the top of my head.	05:23:43
17	Q	How was	05:23:44
18		MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:23:45
19	A		
24	Q	And by	
		; is that correct?	05:24:15



			Page 213
1	А		05:27:27
2	Q	(BY MR. TAKASHIMA) What methodology did	05:27:29
3	the Googl	Le side use to	05:27:31
4		MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:27:37
5	А	In the meetings that I had with them,	
			05:28:47
19	Q	Do you recall	
			05:28:49
21	A	I don't remember	
			05:29:01
24	Q	Do you recall any others?	05:29:02
25	А	Unfortunately not.	05:29:04

		Page 216
1	A On the Waymo side, John Krafcik and our	05:31:38
2	CFO, Ger, once he had joined. And Kevin Vosen, our	05:31:46
3	GC, once he had joined. And in the beginning,	05:31:57
4	myself and Anne Widera on my team.	05:32:00
5	Q Anybody else?	05:32:09
6	A Not that I can think of.	05:32:14
7	Q Okay. And then on the the Google or	05:32:17
8	Alphabet side?	05:32:18
9	A And there	05:32:20
10	were other members of the Corp dev team, but I don't	05:32:27
11	remember their names.	05:32:31
12	Q Again, was running day-to-day on the	05:32:33
13	Google side?	05:32:36
14	MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:32:37
15	A Yes.	05:32:39
16	Q Okay. And who was handling the day-to-day	05:32:39
17	on the Waymo side?	05:32:41
18	A I would say that would be our CFO.	05:32:50
19	Q Okay.	
	, no.	05:33:01
22	Q Okay. Do you know	
		05:33:12
24	MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:33:13
25	A I do not.	05:33:15

			1
			Page 217
1	Q	Do you know what	
			05:33:18
3		MS. BAILY: Object to form.	05:33:20
4	A	I do not.	05:33:21
5	Q	Okay. Do you know what	
			05:33:23
7	А	I do not.	05:33:24
8	Q	Okay. And you said that I believe	05:33:25
9			
		is that correct?	05:33:31
11	А	No. That was it	05:33:33
12	Q	Okay.	05:33:34
13	А		05:33:34
14	Q		05:33:35
15	А	That's right.	05:33:41
16	Q	Okay.	05:33:41
17		MR. TAKASHIMA: Okay. That concludes my	05:34:07
18	question	ing, and I will pass it to counsel for Otto	05:34:08
19	trucking	•	05:34:14
20	EX	AMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT	05:34:15
21	BY MR. JENNINGS:		05:34:33
22	Q	I just have a few questions. I'll keep	05:34:44
23	this pretty short. Going back to our conversation		05:34:46
24	about the Chauffeur Award I think it was in 05:34:4		05:34:48
25	Exhibit	1137 I don't know if you'll need to refer	05:34:51

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1278-11 Filed 08/20/17 Page 10 of 10 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY

I, MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, certify;

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth, at which time the witness declared under penalty of perjury; that the testimony of the witness and all objections made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed under my direction and supervision; that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the testimony so given;

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript () was (XX) was not requested: () that the witness has failed or refused to approve the transcript.

I further certify that I am not financially interested in the action, and I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any of the parties.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, dated this 27th day of July, 2017.

Meg

MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427

Page 222